



NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

E-mail: dfoley@newfoundlandpower.com

2021-07-29

Dominic Foley
Corporate Counsel
Newfoundland Power Inc.
55 Kenmount Road, P.O. Box 8910
St. John's, NL A1B 3P6

Dear Mr. Foley:

**Re: Newfoundland Power Inc. – NP 2022-2023 General Rate Application
Requests for Information**

Enclosed are Requests for Information PUB-NP-001 to PUB-NP-093 regarding the above-noted application.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Board's Legal Counsel, Ms. Jacqui Glynn, by email, jglynn@pub.nl.ca or telephone (709) 726-6781.

Sincerely,

Sara Kean
Assistant Board Secretary

SK/cj

ecc **Newfoundland Power Inc.**
Liam O'Brien, E-mail: lobrien@curtisdawe.com
NP Regulatory, E-mail: regulatory@newfoundlandpower.com
Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro
Shirley Walsh, E-mail: shirleywalsh@nlh.nl.ca
NLH Regulatory, E-mail: NLHRegulatory@nlh.nl.ca

Consumer Advocate
Dennis Browne, Q.C., E-mail: dbrowne@bfma-law.com
Stephen Fitzgerald, E-mail: sfitzgerald@bfma-law.com
Sarah Fitzgerald, E-mail: sarahfitzgerald@bfma-law.com
Bernice Bailey, E-mail: bbailey@bfma-law.com

1 **IN THE MATTER OF** the *Public*
2 *Utilities Act*, (the “Act”); and

3
4

5 **IN THE MATTER OF** a general rate
6 application by Newfoundland Power Inc.
7 to establish customer electricity rates for
8 2022 and 2023.

**PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD
REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

PUB-NP-001 to PUB-NP-093

Issued: July 29, 2021

1 **Section 1: Introduction**

2

3 **PUB-NP-001** Describe any organizational changes that have occurred since the last general
4 rate application in 2019 and provide the most recent organizational charts for
5 Newfoundland Power.
6

7

8 **PUB-NP-002** Volume 1, page 1-3, lines 6-8. Provide a copy of the questions that are asked
9 of customers in the quarterly surveys.

10

11 **PUB-NP-003** Volume 1, page 1-8. Provide a table for 2016 to 2023 that shows for each year
12 2016 to 2020 the approved return on equity, the actual return on equity
13 achieved and the amount in dollars of return for each year, the same for 2021
14 forecast return on equity and the 2022 and 2023 return on equity proposed in
15 the Application.

16

17 **PUB-NP-004** Volume 1, page 1-8, lines 15-17. Newfoundland Power is proposing an
18 average increase in customer rates of approximately 0.8%, effective March 1,
19 2022. Since the filing of the Application customer rates increased on July 1,
20 2021. How does the July 1, 2021 adjustment impact the average increase in
21 customer rates being proposed?

22

23 **PUB-NP-005** Volume 1, page 1-9, lines 1-4. Provide a table that shows the amount of each
24 category of cost that contributes to the 2% increase in the proposed revenue
25 requirement.

26

27 **PUB-NP-006** Volume 1, page 1-9, lines 6-9. Provide the calculation of the 2.7% decrease in
28 revenue required attributable to the reconciliation of the supply costs and
29 forecast energy sales.

30

31 **Section 2: Customer Operations**

32

33 **PUB-NP-007** Volume 1, pages 2-17 to 2-22. Explain how Newfoundland Power sets annual
34 system reliability performance targets and how performance is measured. In
35 the response explain how reliability performance in relation to Canadian peers
36 is considered in setting and evaluating reliability performance measures.

37

38 **PUB-NP-008** Volume 1, pages 2-17 to 2-22. Provide Newfoundland Power's corporate
39 performance measures for the period 2019-2021, showing targets and actuals
40 for 2019 and 2020 and actual year-to-date for 2021.

41

42 **PUB-NP-009** Volume 1, page 2-20, Footnote 49. CEA reliability data for 2020 was not
43 available at the time the Application was prepared. Does Newfoundland
44 Power know when this information will be available? If the information is
45 available now, update Figure 2-7 and Figure 2-8 to include 2020 data.

46

47 **PUB-NP-010** Volume 1, page 2-23. Explain how Newfoundland Power currently considers
48 and balances capital and operating costs incurred for system reliability and the
49 customer benefits expected from incurring such costs. In the response explain
how Newfoundland Power takes into account the upwards pressure on

- 1 customer rates arising from the Muskrat Falls Project outlined on pages 1-6 to
2 1-7.
3
- 4 **PUB-NP-011** Volume 1, page 2-30. The gross operating costs per customer on an inflation
5 adjusted basis over the last decade was provided. Provide a figure showing the
6 gross operating costs on an inflation adjusted basis for the same period.
7 Provide a separate figure showing the number of customers over the period.
8
- 9 **PUB-NP-012** Volume 1, page 2-32. Gross operating costs are forecast to increase by 11%
10 from 2019 to 2023. Explain how Newfoundland Power considered the
11 upwards pressure on rates from the Muskrat Falls Project outlined on pages 1-
12 6 to 1-7 and the resulting impact on customers in determining the forecast
13 operating costs. In the response describe any specific actions taken by
14 Newfoundland Power to keep operating costs as low as possible to reduce the
15 burden on customers of increased costs in the current environment.
16
- 17 **PUB-NP-013** Volume 1, page 2-35, Footnote 79. \$91,000 of operating efficiencies in 2023
18 is due to the implementation of the new Customer Information System. Since
19 the implementation of the new system is planned for 2023, will there be any
20 further increase in operating efficiencies in 2024 and 2025 as a result of the
21 new system being in operation for a full year? If yes, provide an estimate of
22 the operating efficiencies expected during this period.
23
- 24 **PUB-NP-014** Volume 1, page 2-35, Table 2-9. Provide a detailed calculation showing the
25 breakdown of costs that cause the increase in corporate and employee service
26 costs over the period 2019-2023.
27
- 28 **PUB-NP-015** Volume 1, page 2-36, Footnote 80. Are the costs described in this footnote
29 annual costs and expensed entirely when purchased? If not, indicate the year
30 the specific costs will be incurred and the amortization period of the costs, if
31 applicable.
32
- 33 **PUB-NP-016** Volume 1, page 2-38. List each of Newfoundland Power's current collective
34 agreements and provide the term of each, the annual wage adjustment for each
35 year in each agreement and any special monetary adjustments.
36
- 37 **PUB-NP-017** Volume 1, page 2-38. Provide a comparison of Newfoundland Power's hourly
38 wage rates with other Atlantic Canadian utilities for Power Line Technicians
39 and any other classification where data is available.
40
- 41 **PUB-NP-018** Volume 1, page 2-38. Describe how salaries are established for non-union
42 employees and the annual adjustments budgeted each year from 2021 to 2023.
43
- 44 **PUB-NP-019** Volume 1, page 2-38. Provide a detailed explanation of Newfoundland
45 Power's bonus or short-term incentive plans, including the eligible
46 participants, the criteria for payments and the amounts paid in 2019, 2020 and
47 forecast for 2021.

- 1 **PUB-NP-020** Volume 1, page 2-38. Provide the amounts included in the 2022 and 2023
2 revenue requirements for incentive or performance-based payments.
3
- 4 **PUB-NP-021** Volume 1, page 2-38. Provide sample 2021 short-term incentive performance
5 targets for a director position and an executive position.
6
- 7 **PUB-NP-022** Volume 1, page 2-38. Is the implied operating efficiency of 1% simply the
8 difference between the forecast increase in labour costs and the weighted
9 labour rate inflation? Are other factors considered in attributing an operating
10 efficiency of 1%?
11
- 12 **PUB-NP-023** Volume 1, page 2-38. Explain how Newfoundland Power plans on achieving
13 the forecast 1% operating efficiency in 2022 and 2023.
14
- 15 **Section 3: Finance**
16
- 17 **PUB-NP-024** Volume 1, page 2-38. Provide the overall average salary for employees for
18 2019 and 2020 and forecast for each year 2021-2023, including any bonus or
19 short-term incentive payments.
20
- 21 **PUB-NP-025** Volume 1, page 3-1, lines 14-16. Newfoundland Power states “A 45%
22 *common equity component and a 9.8% rate of return on equity is consistent*
23 *with maintaining Newfoundland Power’s financial integrity and the fair*
24 *return standard.”* In Newfoundland Power’s opinion is there a range in which
25 the equity component and the return on equity could be set that would
26 maintain Newfoundland Power’s financial integrity and the fair return
27 standard? If yes, state the range for each of the return on equity and the equity
28 component in the capital structure. If no, explain why maintaining
29 Newfoundland Power’s financial integrity and the fair return standard is
30 dependent on the Board approving the specific return on equity of 9.8% and
31 the capital structure consisting of 45% proposed in the Application.
32
- 33 **PUB-NP-026** Volume 1, page 3-4. Provide a breakdown of the costs included in
34 Miscellaneous in Table 3-2.
35
- 36 **PUB-NP-027** Volume 1, page 3-4. RSA interest in Table 3-2 indicates a significant increase
37 from 2021F to 2022F. What is Newfoundland Power forecasting its RSA
38 balance to be as of March 31, 2022 and March 31, 2023 and what is
39 contributing to the increase?
40
- 41 **PUB-NP-028** Volume 1, page 3-15. Re-state Table 3-12 to include the credit metrics if the
42 Application proposals for 2022 and 2023 were based on a return on equity of
43 8.25%, 8.5%, 8.75%, 9%, 9.25% and 9.5% in addition to the 9.8% proposed.
44
- 45 **PUB-NP-029** Volume 1. Provide information on Newfoundland Power’s financial position
46 at 1% reduced intervals in the equity component from 45% to 37% at returns
47 on equity of 8.25%, 8.5%, 8.75%, 9.0%, 9.25%, 9.5% and 9.8% in the same
48 format as in PUB-NP-034 in Newfoundland Power’s 2019/2020 General Rate
49 Application.

- 1 **PUB-NP-030** Further to PUB-NP-029, would any of the credit metrics at the different
2 returns on equity and equity components in the capital structure cause
3 problems with respect to maintaining Newfoundland Power’s creditworthiness
4 and its ability to maintain a sound credit rating?
5
- 6 **PUB-NP-031** Provide a table that shows the *pro forma* earnings test interest coverage
7 calculation which is required for Newfoundland Power to issue First Mortgage
8 Bonds in 2023 for the same range of equity ratios and allowed returns on
9 equity as in PUB-NP-030.
10
- 11 **PUB-NP-032** Provide the reduction in the proposed 2022 and 2023 revenue requirement and
12 the impact on customer rates if the return on equity is set at 8.25%, 8.5%,
13 8.75%, 9.0%, 9.25% and 9.5% with no other change from the proposals in the
14 Application.
15
- 16 **PUB-NP-033** Provide the reduction in the proposed 2022 and 2023 revenue requirement and
17 the impact on customer rates if the current approved rate of return on equity of
18 8.5% is maintained for 2022 and 2023 and the equity component in the capital
19 structure is reduced to (1) 43% and (2) 40% with no other change from the
20 proposals in the Application.
21
- 22 **PUB-NP-034** Volume 1, page 3-20. Have any Canadian utilities changed their capital
23 structure since 2016? If yes, provide details of the change.
24
- 25 **PUB-NP-035** Volume 1, page 3-23. Newfoundland Power states “*The principal risks to*
26 *which Newfoundland Power is exposed have not changed materially since*
27 *2018.*” And at page 1-8 Newfoundland Power states “*Expert evidence filed*
28 *with this Application indicates that Newfoundland Power has above-average*
29 *business risk in comparison to other Canadian utilities.*” Is Newfoundland
30 Power of the opinion that it has above average business risk compared to other
31 Canadian utilities? If the answer is yes, explain the basis for this opinion.
32
- 33 **PUB-NP-036** Further to PUB-NP-035, in Order No. P.U. 18(2016), page 19, lines 26-33, the
34 Board determined that Newfoundland Power is an average risk utility. The
35 return on equity and capital structure formed part of the settlement agreement
36 in the 2019/2020 General Rate Application and continued the equity
37 component and return on equity approved by the Board in Order No. P.U.18
38 (2016). Describe, in detail, if the principal risks have not materially changed
39 since 2018 as stated at page 3-23, what factors should the Board consider in
40 this proceeding to support a conclusion that Newfoundland Power is now
41 above average business risk in comparison to Canadian utilities as opined by
42 Newfoundland Power’s expert?
43
- 44 **PUB-NP-037** Volume 1, page 3-33. It is stated that “*Increases in supply costs related to the*
45 *Muskrat Falls Project could be expected to put pressure on Newfoundland*
46 *Power’s ability to earn a fair return*” and at page 1-8 it is stated that “*The*
47 *Muskrat Falls Project continues to pose a risk to the delivery of reliable*
48 *service to customers at least cost.*” If a rate mitigation plan is successfully
49 introduced by the Provincial Government to mitigate the impact of the

- 1 Muskrat Falls Project on customers, how would this influence the assessment
2 of Newfoundland Power's business risk?
3
- 4 **PUB-NP-038** Volume 1, page 3-39. Unpredictability in costs arising from response to
5 customer outages is discussed and it is stated that this "*can result in volatility*
6 *in earnings*". Explain how Newfoundland Power has historically dealt with
7 costs associated with customer outages arising from severe weather.
8
- 9 **PUB-NP-039** Volume 1, page 3-39. Provide all capital and operating costs incurred from
10 2016-2020 that have arisen solely due to severe weather conditions that
11 caused unplanned customer outages and identify those costs that were not
12 recovered and their impact on Newfoundland Power's financial position in the
13 year in which the costs were incurred.
14
- 15 **PUB-NP-040** Volume 1, page 3-40. What weight does Newfoundland Power consider that
16 the Board, in assessing Newfoundland Power's business risk, should give to
17 the number and scope of approved regulatory mechanisms that provide for the
18 recovery of costs that are largely beyond management's control?
19
- 20 **PUB-NP-041** Volume 1, page 3-40. Footnote 100 refers to a report on supply cost
21 mechanisms, including practices of other investor-owned utilities, that was
22 completed in 2015. Provide an update to this report covering the last five
23 years.
24
- 25 **PUB-NP-042** Volume 1, page 3-45. Is it Newfoundland Power's position that the current
26 state of the financial market, specifically the low Canada bond yields, is the
27 only reason for the proposed continued suspension of the automatic
28 adjustment formula?
29
- 30 **PUB-NP-043** Volume 1, page 3-45. In Newfoundland Power's opinion are there any
31 changes to the automatic adjustment formula that could be made that would
32 adjust for the current low risk-free rate?
33
- 34 **PUB-NP-044** Volume 1, page 3-45. Has Newfoundland Power considered any alternative to
35 the automatic adjustment formula that would adjust the return on equity
36 between general rate applications?
37
- 38 **PUB-NP-045** Volume 1, page 3-46, Footnote 122. What has been the effect on the return on
39 equity for the Ontario utilities as a result of the Ontario Energy Board leaving
40 the automatic adjustment formula in place?
41
- 42 **PUB-NP-046** Volume 1, page 3-54. Newfoundland Power is proposing to increase the
43 amortization period for CDM program costs from seven years to ten years for
44 costs incurred commencing January 1, 2021 and notes that the amortization
45 period of 10 years is consistent with current public utility practice. However,
46 Newfoundland Power is proposing to continue with a seven-year amortization
47 period for costs prior to 2021. Explain why Newfoundland Power is not
48 proposing to extend the amortization period for the prior costs to ten years.
49 Provide the impact on revenue requirement, rates and return on rate base if the

- 1 recovery period for costs prior to 2021 is extended to ten years and update
2 Table 3-19 on page 3-55 to include this additional alternative.
3
- 4 **PUB-NP-047** Volume 1, page 3-56. Provide the impact on the forecast 2022 and 2023
5 revenue requirement, rates and rate base assuming the Board does not approve
6 the recovery in customer rates of Newfoundland Power's costs for electric
7 vehicle incentives and the inclusion in rate base of the proposed electric
8 vehicle charging network as proposed in Newfoundland Power's 2021
9 Electrification, Conservation and Demand Management application
10
- 11 **PUB-NP-048** Volume 1, page 3-56, Footnotes 151 and 152. Provide examples of recovery
12 periods for costs used in Canadian jurisdictions.
13
- 14 **Volume 1, Exhibit 3**
15
- 16 **PUB-NP-049** Volume 1, Exhibit 3. Reconcile the depreciation amounts for 2022E and
17 2023E on page 1 of 9 with the amounts provided in Table 3-5 on page 3-8.
18
- 19 **Volume 2, Labour Forecast 2021-2023**
20
- 21 **PUB-NP-050** Volume 2, Labour Forecast 2021-2023, page 3 states that there is an increase
22 of 12.5 FTEs in 2021 over 2020 and page 4 states there is an increase of 18
23 FTEs in 2022 over 2021 and a decrease of 17 FTEs in 2023 over 22, leaving an
24 apparent increase of 13.5 FTEs in 2023 over 2020. Please list all new positions
25 created and to be created in this period and those eliminated since 2019. Also,
26 provide an explanation for the increase in 2023 over 2019.
27
- 28 **PUB-NP-051** Volume 2, Labour Forecast 2021-2023, page 4 states that the 2023 test year
29 labour forecast reflects an overall decrease of 17 FTEs, primarily due to the
30 conclusion of the CSS Replacement Project; however, Schedule C indicates a
31 higher overall labour expense in 2023 than 2022. Explain how the reduction
32 of the 17 FTEs during 2023 impacts the 2023 and 2024 forecasts.
33
- 34 **Volume 2, Customer, Energy and Demand Forecast**
35
- 36 **PUB-NP-052** Volume 2, Customer, Energy and Demand Forecast, page 3. Footnote 8
37 describes the peak demand forecasting methodologies of six of twelve
38 Canadian utilities that use a similar methodology to Newfoundland Power's.
39 Describe the methodologies used by the other utilities included in the survey.
40
- 41 **PUB-NP-053** Volume 2, Customer, Energy and Demand Forecast, page 3. Explain why
42 Newfoundland Power selected to reduce the period from fifteen to five years
43 of historical data for system peak demand, rather than another period such as
44 ten years or three years.
45
- 46 **PUB-NP-054** Volume 2, Customer, Energy and Demand Forecast, page 3. Further to PUB-
47 NP-053 did Newfoundland Power complete any analysis of the impact of
48 using different historical periods for forecasting the average system load
49 factor than five years and fifteen years? If yes, provide it. If not, state how the

1 use of one year, three years and ten years historical data, within the period
 2 2010 to 2019 would impact Newfoundland Power's peak demand forecast
 3 proposed in the General Rate Application.
 4

5 **PUB-NP-055** Volume 2, Customer, Energy and Demand Forecast, page 3, Footnote 6.
 6 When will the load research study on heat pumps be completed?
 7

8 **PUB-NP-056** Volume 2, Customer, Energy and Demand Forecast, page 5. The energy sales
 9 forecast includes annual electricity price increases of 2.25% effective January
 10 1 each year from 2022 to 2026 based on the Provincial Government's April
 11 2019 release *Protecting You from the Cost Impacts of Muskrat Falls*. What
 12 would be the impact on Newfoundland Power's energy sales forecast and its
 13 General Rate Application proposals if there is no Government rate mitigation
 14 plan in place for 2022 and 2023?
 15

16 **PUB-NP-057** Volume 2, Customer, Energy and Demand and Forecast, page 10. Explain
 17 how system losses of 5% for the forecast period were determined. Include in
 18 the response the historical information relied on in determining the losses.
 19

20 **Volume 2, Review of General Expenses Capitalized**

21
 22 **PUB-NP-058** In Order No. P.U. 3(1995-96) the Board approved the change in
 23 Newfoundland Power's GEC methodology from the full cost method to the
 24 incremental method. According to Figure 1 on page 5 of 13 of the *Review of*
 25 *General Expenses Capitalized*", it appears that the amount of GEC in total
 26 capital expenditures decreased significantly after the change and phase-in
 27 period to incremental, which resulted in more of the general expenses being
 28 treated as operating costs and less as capital. It is noted that in response to the
 29 survey question 5 (b) on page 27 in Attachment 1, of the seven utilities that
 30 responded to the question, five used the full cost method and two used the
 31 incremental. Why does Newfoundland Power propose continuing with the
 32 incremental method when the full cost methodology appears to be a more
 33 common practice among the utilities surveyed?
 34

35 **PUB-NP-059** Volume 2, Review of General Expenses Capitalized, page 11. NP states:
 36 *"Conceptually, there is no material difference to total capital expenditures*
 37 *whether pension costs are capitalized by way of a labour loader or through*
 38 *GEC. Both approaches ultimately allocate pension costs to capital projects*
 39 *based on the Company's overall labour allocations."*
 40

41 The Board approved pension costs as a component of GEC on an incremental
 42 basis in Order No. P.U. 3(1995-96). If there is no material overall impact on
 43 the amount of pension capitalized using either method, is there any other
 44 reason to change the current methodology now and increase revenue
 45 requirement by \$1.4 million in 2023F, with the exception that the use of the
 46 labour loader is more common practice. What would the impact be on
 47 revenue requirement, rates and rate base if this policy wasn't changed at this
 48 time?

- 1 **PUB-NP-060** Further to PUB-NP-059 did Newfoundland Power consider the possibility of
 2 using a mechanism such as a deferral account to smooth the \$1.4 million
 3 impact on revenue requirement for the 2023 test year over a period of three to
 4 five years or longer?
 5
- 6 **PUB-NP-061** Volume 2, Review of General Expenses Capitalized. Is Newfoundland Power
 7 able to provide what the labour loader for pension costs would have been for
 8 2019 and 2020 if the capitalization of pension costs was not included in the
 9 GEC for those years? How does the amount of pension costs capitalized as
 10 GEC compare to what would have been capitalized if a labour loader was
 11 used?
 12
- 13 **PUB-NP-062** Volume 2, Review of General Expenses Capitalized. What is the labour loader
 14 used by Newfoundland Power for the capitalization of pension costs in
 15 2023F? Footnote 38 on page 11 states that loading rates are assessed on an
 16 annual basis to ensure they are reasonably allocating the total overhead costs
 17 and any over/under recovery of allocated costs versus the total cost is trued up
 18 at year end. Can this practice occur with the cost ratio of pension costs
 19 included in GEC on an annual basis? If not, please explain.
 20
- 21 **PUB-NP-063** Volume 2 Review of General Expenses Capitalized. On page 3, Appendix B,
 22 it is noted that general expenses for system operations are currently charged
 23 directly to GEC; however, Newfoundland Power is proposing in this
 24 Application that a ratio of 10% of system operations general expenses is
 25 appropriate and justifies it based on a reduction of 2 FTEs in this area if there
 26 was no capital program. Please explain what has changed in Newfoundland
 27 Power's rationale in determining the appropriate cost ratio for this cost
 28 category since Order No. P.U. 3(1995-96).
 29
- 30 **PUB-NP-064** Volume 2, Review of General Expenses Capitalized. On page 3 of Appendix
 31 B Newfoundland Power notes that it is challenging to determine a specific
 32 reduction in general expenses for the finance, human resources and
 33 information systems departments (non-construction activities) if there was no
 34 capital program. Currently, a nominal rate of 13% is applied as a reasonable
 35 proxy and, according to Newfoundland Power, this rate reflects the nominal
 36 rate applied at the end of the phase-in period of the incremental cost method in
 37 1999. Newfoundland Power is proposing to change this nominal rate from
 38 13% to 10% for non-construction activities and indicates that 10% was the
 39 Board's suggestion in Order No. P.U. 3 (1995-96), page 19. Please explain
 40 why NP is now proposing to adjust this rate to 10% from the 13% that has
 41 been in place since 1999. Please provide the impact on revenue requirement,
 42 rate base and rates if this percentage did not change to 10%.
 43
- 44 **Volume 3, 2019 Depreciation Study**
- 45
- 46 **PUB-NP-065** Volume 3, 2019 Depreciation Study, page III-7. Provide a table that shows
 47 how each of the proposed changes in service life estimates impacts the
 48 depreciation expense proposed in the Application.

1 **PUB-NP-066** Volume 3, 2019 Depreciation Study, page IV-9. It is stated that *“The*
 2 *company has performed a site specific decommissioning cost estimate for*
 3 *each of its 23 owned hydroelectric generating units and 6 thermal units.”*
 4 Provide a copy of the estimate completed for one of the hydroelectric sites and
 5 for one of the thermal sites.
 6

7 **Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne**
 8

9 **PUB-NP-067** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 29, lines 3-12. The
 10 Canadian proxy group is composed of six companies, compared to four in Mr.
 11 Coyne’s June 1, 2018 report for Newfoundland Power in its 2019/2020
 12 General Rate Application and includes three additional companies from 2018
 13 and the elimination of one. Please explain the basis for the changes in the
 14 Canadian proxy group since 2018.
 15

16 **PUB-NP-068** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 30, lines 5-7. The
 17 U.S. electric proxy group is composed of nine U.S. electric utility companies,
 18 compared to ten in Mr. Coyne’s June 1, 2018 report for Newfoundland Power
 19 in its 2019/2020 General Rate Application and includes additional companies
 20 and the elimination of others. Please explain the basis for the changes in the
 21 U.S. electric proxy group since 2018.
 22

23 **PUB-NP-069** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 31, line 4 to page 32,
 24 line 11. Have there been any regulatory decisions in Canada since the Board’s
 25 Order No. P.U. 18(2016) that have used unadjusted U.S. data in setting a fair
 26 return for a Canadian regulated utility? If yes, provide a copy of the decision.
 27

28 **PUB-NP-070** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 34, line 7 to page 38,
 29 line 5. In Order No. P.U. 13(2013), page 31, lines 13-16 and Order No. P.U.
 30 18(2016), page 39, lines 14-15 the Board expressed concern on the
 31 assumption of constant growth in perpetuity and no offsetting adjustment for
 32 analysts’ bias in the Constant Growth DCF method used by Mr. Coyne to
 33 estimate a fair return for Newfoundland Power. Mr. Coyne addresses this
 34 concern and referred to various factors which, in his opinion, demonstrate that
 35 projected analysts’ growth rates are reasonable but all pre-date 2016. Have
 36 there been any changes since the Board’s decision in 2016 that would lead the
 37 Board to now reach a different conclusion on the issue of analysts’ bias in the
 38 Constant Growth DCF method?
 39

40 **PUB-NP-071** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 34, line 7 to page 38,
 41 line 5. Has there been a decision by a Canadian regulator since 2016 that in
 42 setting the fair return for a utility considered the use of the Constant Growth
 43 DCF method, with no adjustment for analysts’ bias in projected growth rates?
 44 If yes provide a copy of the decision.
 45

46 **PUB-NP-072** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 34, line 7 to page 38,
 47 line 5. Provide copies of all decisions by a Canadian regulator since 2016 that
 48 considered the use of the Constant Growth DCF method in setting the fair
 49 return for a utility.

- 1 **PUB-NP-073** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 40, line 6 to page 41,
 2 line 2. In Order No. P.U. 13(2013) and Order No. P.U. 18(2016) the Board
 3 decided a downward adjustment should be made to the DCF method to reflect
 4 differences in the U.S. and Canadian experience. In Mr. Coyne's opinion no
 5 such adjustment is required. What changes, if any, have occurred since 2016
 6 that would lead the Board to now conclude that no downward adjustment is
 7 required?
 8
- 9 **PUB-NP-074** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 42, Figure 22. In
 10 Order No. P.U. 18(2016) the Board accepted a forecast risk free rate based on
 11 the two test years. Provide Figures 22 and 23 based on a two-year, not a three-
 12 year forecast.
 13
- 14 **PUB-NP-075** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 45, Figure 26. In Mr.
 15 Coyne's report dated June 1, 2018 for Newfoundland Power's 2019/2020
 16 General Rate Application, Mr. Coyne, at page 39, lines 6-15, described
 17 adjustments he made to his CAPM analysis due to concerns about the ability
 18 of the CAPM method to produce reasonable results in the then current market
 19 conditions. The same adjustments appear to have been made in his May 27,
 20 2021 report. Describe any adjustments made to Mr. Coyne's 2021 CAPM
 21 analysis to adjust for current market conditions.
 22
- 23 **PUB-NP-076** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 45, Figure 26.
 24 Further to PUB-NP-075 state what the unadjusted CAPM would be if Mr.
 25 Coyne had made no adjustments to his CAPM analysis for current market
 26 conditions.
 27
- 28 **PUB-NP-077** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 44. What weight, if
 29 any, does Mr. Coyne think forecasts of market risk premiums from third
 30 parties should be given in determining the appropriate market risk premiums?
 31 If any should be considered, what third party forecasts would be appropriate
 32 for the Board to consider in Mr. Coyne's opinion?
 33
- 34 **PUB-NP-078** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 50, Figure 29. Re-
 35 state Figure 29 to include for each utility the date of the allowed return in
 36 2018, the date of the regulatory decision setting the most recently approved
 37 ROE, and the date, if known, when the ROE is expected to be reviewed by the
 38 regulator.
 39
- 40 **PUB-NP-079** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 54, Figure 30. Re-
 41 state Figure 30 to include the date when the capital structure was last reviewed
 42 and approved by the regulator.
 43
- 44 **PUB-NP-080** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 54, Figure 30.
 45 Explain why, in Mr. Coyne's opinion, the approved equity ratio as shown in
 46 Figure 30 and the approved return on equity shown on Figure 29 have both
 47 been historically higher for the U.S. electric group if the Canadian and U.S.
 48 capital markets are highly integrated as stated on page 27, lines 5-15 and no

- 1 adjustment is required in the DCF analysis to reflect adjustments for use of
2 U.S. data.
3
- 4 **PUB-NP-081** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 56, lines 2-25. Mr.
5 Coyne refers to a November 2020 Moody's report which considered the risks
6 for Newfoundland Power arising from the Muskrat Falls Project. Moody's has
7 not changed Newfoundland Power's credit rating from Baa1 which Mr. Coyne
8 acknowledges, at page 57, lines 3-6, is higher than other Canadian electric
9 utilities. What weight, in Mr. Coyne's opinion, should be given to the
10 maintenance of Newfoundland Power's credit rating from Moody's in the
11 Board's consideration of whether Newfoundland Power is an above average
12 risk Canadian utility?
13
- 14 **PUB-NP-082** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 57, lines 2-6.
15 Explain how Mr. Coyne concluded that Newfoundland Power has comparable
16 financial risk to the other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada given its
17 higher equity component and higher long-term issuer rating from Moody's.
18
- 19 **PUB-NP-083** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 68, lines 16-20. How
20 did Mr. Coyne select the five investor-owned electric utilities for comparison
21 of their business risks compared to Newfoundland Power and why were no
22 companies from the Canadian proxy group used for the ROE analysis
23 included for the comparison of business risks?
24
- 25 **PUB-NP-084** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 74, lines 10-27. In
26 Order No. P.U. 18(2016), page 19, lines 31-33, the Board concluded that
27 Newfoundland Power is an average risk utility compared to other Canadian
28 utilities. Explain how each of the risks associated with the factors analyzed by
29 Mr. Coyne in his assessment of Newfoundland Power's business risks
30 compared to other Canadian utilities has increased since the Board's decision
31 in 2016 that would cause the Board to now conclude that Newfoundland
32 Power is above average risk compared to Canadian peers. In the response
33 explain the degree to which any of the risks have changed and state whether
34 the change is minor or material.
35
- 36 **PUB-NP-085** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 74, lines 17-19. Mr.
37 Coyne is of the opinion that Newfoundland Power has more supply risk than
38 other Canadian investor-owned electric utilities due to the cost of the Muskrat
39 Falls Project and the effect on customer demand as well as uncertainty
40 regarding reliability. How is Mr. Coyne's opinion affected by the recent
41 announcement on July 28, 2021 by the Provincial and Federal Governments of
42 a rate mitigation plan that reduces the cost pressures on electricity rates due to
43 the Muskrat Falls project? In the response explain how the uncertainty arising
44 from the Muskrat Falls project for electricity rates is influencing Mr. Coyne's
45 opinion that Newfoundland Power is an above average risk Canadian electric
46 utility.
47
- 48 **PUB-NP-086** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 79, lines 22-24. Mr.
49 Coyne concludes that "*the current deemed common equity ratio for*

1 *Newfoundland Power of 45 percent remains the minimum appropriate level*
 2 *given these relative financial and business risks". Did Mr. Coyne quantify*
 3 *these relative financial and business risks in reaching his conclusions for the*
 4 *report? If yes provide the quantification. If no, provide them at this time.*

5
 6 **PUB-NP-087** Further to PUB-NP-086, the allowed equity ratios for a number of Canadian
 7 utilities are provided in Mr. Coyne's report and all are below Newfoundland
 8 Power's with the overall average for Canadian electric utilities reported on
 9 page 54 being 38.9%. Is the conclusion that can be drawn from this that
 10 Newfoundland Power is, in Mr. Coyne's opinion, the riskiest electric utility in
 11 Canada?
 12

13 **PUB-NP-088** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 79, lines 22-24
 14 Explain the basis for Mr. Coyne's conclusion that 45% is the minimum
 15 appropriate common equity ratio for Newfoundland Power.
 16

17 **PUB-NP-089** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 79. Has Mr. Coyne
 18 considered the impact on Newfoundland Power's credit metrics and financial
 19 integrity with different common equity ratios than 45%? If yes, explain what
 20 was considered and provide the analysis. If no, explain why not.
 21

22 **PUB-NP-090** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 79. Further to PUB-
 23 NP-029 in which Newfoundland Power provides information on its financial
 24 position at different common equity ratios and returns on equity than those
 25 proposed in the Application, provide Mr. Coyne's opinion why no common
 26 equity ratio other than 45% is appropriate given the credit metrics shown in
 27 the response to PUB-NP-029 at certain common equity ratios.
 28

29 **PUB-NP-091** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 80. Please confirm
 30 that it is Mr. Coyne's opinion that the only reason for the continued
 31 suspension of the automatic adjustment formula is the current state of
 32 financial markets and the unusually low risk-free rate.
 33

34 **PUB-NP-092** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 80. In Mr. Coyne's
 35 opinion are there revisions to the last approved automatic adjustment formula
 36 that could be made to appropriately reflect current market conditions and
 37 determine a fair return? If yes, explain the revisions and, if not, explain why
 38 not?
 39

40 **PUB-NP-093** Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne. In Mr. Coyne's opinion
 41 are there alternatives other than the existing automatic adjustment mechanism
 42 that would be suitable to appropriately adjust the return on equity between
 43 general rate applications?

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland this 29th day of July, 2021.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

Per


Sara Kean
Assistant Board Secretary